

#### Title: Outpatient Pulmonary Vein Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation: Clinical and Cost-Effectiveness Review

Date: 04 December 2007

#### Context and policy issues:

A type of supraventricular arrhythmia in the upper chambers of the heart, known as atrial fibrillation (AF), affects approximately 200,000 to 250,000 Canadians.<sup>1</sup> Accounting for 15% of all strokes, AF is commonly associated with aging, thromboembolism, hypertension, heart failure, and valve disease.<sup>1</sup> The clinical impact of AF is underestimated as it is often asymptomatic until a stroke or heart failure occurs.

Management of AF involves medication, brief electrical shock or cardioversion, and surgical or catheter-based therapies.<sup>1,2</sup> When antiarrythmic drugs are ineffective and normal rhythm can not be restored by cardioversion, pulmonary vein ablation (PVA), also known as atrial fibrillation ablation or pulmonary vein isolation, may be used to destroy cells near the pulmonary veins where AF originates.<sup>3</sup> Most AF ablations consist of isolating abnormal electrical pathways from the pulmonary veins and destroying them using radiofrequency energy.<sup>3</sup>

Since its introduction in 1990, catheter ablation has almost replaced surgical intervention with the numbers of procedures increasing from 500 in 1991 to greater than 15,000 in 1993.<sup>4</sup> Over the last seven years, PVA has evolved and the patient population has expanded.<sup>5</sup> Patients undergoing PVA in 2005 were older, with larger atrial size, more persistent or permanent AF, and had taken fewer antiarrhythmics than those who underwent the procedure in 1999.<sup>5</sup> In 2001, the Ontario Consensus Panel on Arrhythmia Management concluded that radiofrequency ablation is likely to be an economically attractive intervention when performed in high-volume centres with low complication rates.<sup>6</sup> However, at that time, the panel concluded there was insufficient Canadian data on which to base health policy and there were inherent limitations in existing non-Canadian data.<sup>6</sup>

<u>Disclaimer</u>. The Health Technology Inquiry Service (HTIS) is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. HTIS responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources and a summary of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. HTIS responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

<u>Copyright</u>: This report contains CADTH copyright material. It may be copied and used for non-commercial purposes, provided that attribution is given to CADTH.

<u>Links</u>: This report may contain links to other information on available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

Outpatient cardiac catheterizations and diagnostic procedures have been shown to be safe and cost effective compared to inpatient procedures.<sup>7</sup> Similarly, while PVA is effective and improves quality of life when performed during surgery, evidence is sought to support the use of PVA in the outpatient setting.

#### **Research questions:**

- 1. What is the clinical effectiveness of outpatient PVA for adults with AF?
- 2. What impact does outpatient PVA have on quality of life (QoL) for adults with AF?
- 3. What complications are associated with outpatient PVA for adults with AF?
- 4. What is the cost-effectiveness of outpatient PVA for adults with AF?
- 5. What are the guidelines for outpatient PVA for adults with AF?

#### Methods:

A limited literature search was conducted on key health technology assessment resources, including PubMed, the Cochrane Library (Issue 4, 2007), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, ECRI, EuroScan, international HTA agencies, and a focused Internet search. Results include articles published between 1993 and the present, and are limited to English language publications. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type.

#### Summary of findings:

The literature search yielded two observational studies on clinical effectiveness,<sup>8,9</sup> three observational studies on cost-effectiveness,<sup>10-12</sup> and one observational study on adverse events.<sup>13</sup> Studies were prospective or retrospective in design, conducted between 1991 and 2007, with follow-up ranging from six to 22 months. Outcomes include successful ablation, same day discharge and costs. Study details are provided in appendix A.

#### Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, guidelines

No health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or guidelines were identified that specifically addressed outpatient PVA.

#### **Randomized controlled trials**

No randomized controlled trials involving outpatient PVA were identified.

#### **Observational studies**

#### Clinical effectiveness

A prospective study of the first 50 patients admitted to the Royal Perth Hospital in Australia reported the safety and efficacy of day stay transcatheter radiofrequency ablation in patients treated for supraventricular tachyarrythmias.<sup>8</sup> Nineteen males and 31 females, with a mean age of  $42\pm14$  years, underwent outpatient transcatheter radiofrequency ablation for supraventricular tachyarrhythmias due to aberrant atrioventricular pathways. The number of patients with atrial fibrillation was not specified, nor was the recruitment period. Patients were followed-up for a mean of  $6.2\pm3.1$  months. Accessory pathways were successfully ablated in

30 of 32 patients; dual atrioventricular nodal pathways were successfully ablated in 18, and slow pathways were successfully ablated in 17. Of the 38 patients discharged on the same day, 24 were treated for accessory pathways and 14 were treated for dual atrioventricular nodal pathways. Eight patients were admitted overnight because of a long and difficult procedure (four), need for intravenous anticoagulation (one), and complications detected during the procedure (cardiac perforation during mapping in one patient, and retrograde dissection of the femoral artery in another). No readmissions or complications were observed in patients discharged on the same day. The authors concluded that day stay transcatheter ablation for supraventricular tachyarrhythmia can be planned without prior diagnosis of physiological cause.<sup>8</sup> Day stay catheter ablation affords the use of day ward beds that can be reliably booked in advance, avoiding the need for coronary care unit beds typically allocated to life-threatening cardiac conditions.<sup>8</sup>

The safety and efficacy of outpatient radiofrequency ablation was evaluated in a retrospective analysis of 60 consecutive patients admitted to the New York Medical Center between1994 and 1997.<sup>9</sup> Forty-three males and 17 females, with a mean age of 38±15 years, underwent outpatient transseptal radiofrequency ablation of left sided accessory pathways with a mean follow-up of 22 months. Fifty patients (83%) had supraventricular tachycardia, 7 (11%) had AF, and 3 (6%) had complex tachycardia. Following diazepam sedation, a mean of 3.5 radiofrequency applications were given per case and procedure time ranged from 1.25 to 3.5 hours. Post-procedure subcutaneous heparin precluded the need for overnight intravenous anticoagulation to prevent thromboembolic events. All patients had successful ablation of the accessory pathway without complication. One patient with left anterolateral concealed pathway experienced a recurrence of symptoms three months after the procedure. Authors concluded that this study suggests transseptal radiofrequency ablation of the left sided accessory pathways is a safe and effective procedure when performed in an outpatient setting. Patients could be safely discharged four hours following the procedure, barring complications. These results were obtained at a high volume centre, experienced in using the transseptal technique.<sup>9</sup>

#### Cost-effectiveness

A prospective study of 95 patients admitted to the University of Michigan Medical Centre between 1991 and 1992 reported the safety and cost of outpatient radiofrequency catheter ablation of accessory atrioventricular connections.<sup>10</sup> Sixty three men and 32 women, with a mean age of  $36\pm13$  years, underwent ablation; five of whom underwent two ablation procedures. The pathway was left-sided in 67 cases and right-sided or posteroseptal in 33 cases. Ablation was successful in 97 of 100 cases, with a mean procedure duration of 99  $\pm$  42 minutes. The patient was discharged on the same day in 70 cases and the day following the procedure in 30 cases. Complications were observed in two patients with femoral artery pseudoaneurysm requiring surgical repair.<sup>10</sup> Of the 30 consecutive patients chosen for the cost analysis, 22 were outpatients and eight were hospitalized overnight. The mean cost of the procedure was US\$ 10,183  $\pm$  1,082.<sup>10</sup> The authors concluded that most patients undergoing catheter ablation for an accessory atrioventricular connection can be treated as safely as outpatients.<sup>10</sup>

The safety and cost of outpatient radiofrequency ablation was evaluated in an observational study of 139 consecutive patients who underwent ablation of the slow pathway to treat atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia at the University of Michigan from 1991 to 1992.<sup>11</sup> The patient population comprised of 38 men and 101 women with a mean age of 45±16 years. Ablation was successful in 119 of 120 patients, that qualified for early discharge, up to 7±3

years following the procedure. One patient underwent repeat slow pathway ablation for tachycardia recurrence three weeks after their first procedure. Ninety patients were discharged on the same day, 30 were discharged the next day, and 19 were hospitalized due to complications (2 cases), ablation of the fast atrioventricular pathway (6 cases), clinical indication for hospitalization unrelated to tachycardia (4 cases), and inpatient status at the time of ablation (7 cases). The two complications consisted of complete atrioventricular block that resolved in one patient and persisted in another, resulting in pacemaker implantation.<sup>11</sup>

Of the 40 consecutive patients selected for the cost analysis, 27 were outpatients and 13 were admitted for an overnight hospital stay.<sup>11</sup> The mean total charge for 40 patients was US\$  $10,547 \pm 1,062$ , of which US\$  $6,267 \pm 481$  was due to professional fees and US\$  $4,327 \pm 867$  was due to in-hospital charges. The mean total charge for outpatients was US\$  $10,192 \pm 846$ , of which US\$  $6,407 \pm 463$  was due to professional fees and US\$  $4,028 \pm 710$  was due to inhospital charges. The mean total charge for patients who were admitted overnight was US\$  $11,240 \pm 763$ , of which US\$  $6,407 \pm 485$  was due to professional fees and US\$  $4,833 \pm 946$  was due to inhospital charges.<sup>11</sup> Authors concluded that outpatient ablation of the slow pathway is safe and cost-effective in low-risk patients. The mean total charges were reduced to US\$  $10,191 \pm 846$  for patients undergoing slow pathway ablation on an outpatient basis, representing a savings of 36% compared to overnight stay.<sup>11</sup>

The cost-effectiveness of outpatient versus inpatient radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of supraventricular arrhythmias was reported in a retrospective analysis conducted at the Royal Perth Hospital in Australia from 1992 to 1993.<sup>12</sup> Twenty-five consecutive patients underwent day stay (outpatient) ablation and 25 underwent inpatient ablation for the treatment of supraventricular tachycardia due to accessory atrioventricular or dual nodal pathways. Patients in the inpatient group were historical controls who underwent ablation before outpatient PVA was introduced. These patients may have been eligible for same day discharge based on current criteria. The outpatient population was comprised of ten males and 15 females with a mean age of 40 years. The inpatient population was comprised of 11 males and 14 females with a mean age of 41 years. Day stay ablation was successful in 24 (96%) patients for a follow-up of  $6 \pm 3$  months without complication. Twenty-two patients had one procedure and three underwent two procedures. The mean estimated cost per patient for day stay ablation was AUS\$  $1.876 \pm 595$ .<sup>12</sup> Inpatient ablation was successful in 24 (96%) of patients with a follow-up of 17  $\pm$  1 month without complication. Twenty-three patients had one procedure and two patients underwent two procedures. The mean estimated cost per patient of inpatient ablation was AUS\$ 2,354  $\pm$  642.<sup>12</sup> Authors concluded that while outpatient ablation is a less costly and equally effective alternative to inpatient ablation, not all patients are suitable candidates for outpatient ablation. There are limitations to the cost-effectiveness studies as it is difficult to determine how many patients had atrial fibrillation and what costs were considered in the cost analysis.

#### Adverse events

Propofol is frequently used to maintain the deep sedation necessary to immobilize patients during catheter-based ablation. The incidence of propofol infusion syndrome during noninvasive PVA was evaluated in a retrospective chart review where blood gasses were used to study metabolic acidosis.<sup>13</sup> Thirteen of 55 (24%) AF patients had unexplained metabolic acidosis following propofol compared to 22 of 267(8.2%) carotid endarterectomy patients who did not receive propofol (p<0.1).<sup>13</sup> While this study suggests prolonged high-dose propofol infusion is

associated with metabolic acidosis, it is limited by its retrospective design, small sample size, and lack of baseline arterial blood gas data.<sup>13</sup>

#### Conclusions and implications for decision or policy making:

One small observational study suggests that day stay transcatheter ablation is effective in treating supraventricular tachyarrhythmia.<sup>8</sup> A small retrospective observational study suggests that transseptal radiofrequency ablation of the left-sided accessory pathway is effective in the outpatient setting; however, only seven of 50 patients had atrial fibrillation.<sup>9</sup> Two prospective studies conducted between 1991 and 1992 suggest outpatient radiofrequency ablation is cost-effective.<sup>10,11</sup> However, it is unclear as to what costs were considered in one study.<sup>10</sup> In addition, a retrospective study comparing outpatient radiofrequency ablation to inpatient ablation suggests day stay is less costly and equally effective.<sup>12</sup> All studies reviewed were conducted in the United States or Australia. All studies are dated, limited in size, study design and relevance to the current Canadian context.

#### Prepared by:

Lynda McGahan, MSc., Research Officer Kelly Farah, MLIS, Information Specialist Health Technology Inquiry Service Email: <u>htis@cadth.ca</u> Tel:1-866-989-8439

#### **References:**

- Canadian Cardiovascular Society. Canadian Cardiovascular Society consensus conference 2004: atrial fibrillation [pre-publication]. Ottawa: The Society; 2004. Available: <u>http://www.ccs.ca/download/consensus\_conference/consensus\_conference\_archives/200</u> <u>4 Atrial Fib full.pdf</u> (accessed 2007 Nov 21).
- Fuster V, Ryden LE, Cannom DS, Crijns HJ, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to revise the 2001 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation). J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48(4):e149-e246.
- Calkins H, Brugada J, Packer DL, Cappato R, Chen SA, Crijns HJ, et al. HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert Consensus Statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for personnel, policy, procedures and follow-up. A report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;4(6):816-61.
- Estes NA. Outpatient transseptal radiofrequency ablation of atrioventricular accessory pathways-ready for prime time? *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 1999;3(2):177-9. Available: <u>http://www.springerlink.com/content/p36wr805t6735p28/fulltext.pdf</u> (accessed 2007 Nov 14).
- 5. Gerstenfeld EP, Callans D, Dixit S, Lin D, Cooper J, Russo AM, et al. Characteristics of patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation: trends over a seven-year period 1999-2005. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18(1):23-8.
- 6. Cardiac Care Network of Ontario. *Consensus panel on arrhythmia management procedures in Ontario: final report and recommendations*. Toronto: The Network; 2001. Available: <u>http://www.ccn.on.ca/pdfs%5Cam-xs.pdf</u> (accessed 2007 Nov 29).
- 7. Bogun F, Morady F. Outpatient radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Herz* 1998;23(1):42-6.
- 8. Weerasooriya HR, Wang L, Davis MJE. Day stay transcatheter radiofrequency ablation for supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. *Med J Aust* 1995;162(4):204-5.
- Sorbera C, Dhakam S, Cohen M, Woolf P, Agarwal Y. Safety and efficacy of outpatient transseptal radiofrequency ablation of atrioventricular accessory pathways. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 1999;3(2):173-5. Available: <u>http://www.springerlink.com/content/r754141hp32v2255/fulltext.pdf</u> (accessed 2007 Nov 14).
- Kalbfleisch SJ, el-Atassi R, Calkins H, Langberg JJ, Morady F. Safety, feasibility and cost of outpatient radiofrequency catheter ablation of accessory atrioventricular connections. J Am Coll Cardiol 1993;21(3):567-70.
- 11. Man KC, Kalbfleisch SJ, Hummel JD, Williamson BD, Vorperian VR, Strickberger SA, et al. Safety and cost of outpatient radiofrequency ablation of the slow pathway in patients with atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;72(17):1323-4.

- 12. Weerasooriya HR, Harris AH, Davis MJE. Cost effectiveness of day stay versus inpatient radiofrequency (RF) ablation for the treatment of supraventricular tachyarrhythmias. *Aust* NZJ Med 1996;26(2):206-9.
- 13. Cravens GT, Packer DL, Johnson ME. Incidence of propofol infusion syndrome during noninvasive radiofrequency ablation for atrial flutter or fibrillation. *Anesthesiology* 2007;106(6):1134-8.

### Appendices: Appendix A: Clinical and Cost-Effectiveness of Outpatient PVA for AF

| Author, Year,<br>Country                               | Study<br>Design  | Participants   | Intervention<br>versus<br>Comparator   | Outcomes   | Results  | Conclusions<br>and Limitations   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Clinical Effective</b>                              | Clinical Effectiveness   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weerasooriya et<br>al., 1995<br>Australia <sup>8</sup> | Prospective<br>Observational<br>Intention to<br>treat and<br>discharge<br>same day<br>Study period:<br>not given<br>Mean follow-<br>up: 6.2 ±3.1<br>months | n=50 patients with<br>supraventricular<br>tachyarrhythmias<br>due to aberrant<br>atrioventricular<br>pathways selected<br>consecutively<br>Males: 19<br>Females: 31<br>Mean age: 42 ±14<br>years | Day stay<br>transcatheter<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation  | Successful<br>ablation<br>Same day<br>discharge                  | <ul> <li>30 of 32 patients<br/>were successfully<br/>ablated (18 dual<br/>atrioventricular nodal<br/>pathways; 17 slow<br/>pathways)</li> <li>38 patients<br/>discharged on same<br/>day (24 accessory<br/>pathways; 14 dual<br/>atrioventricular nodal<br/>pathways)</li> <li>8 patients were<br/>admitted overnight (4<br/>for lengthy<br/>procedure; 1<br/>intravenous<br/>anticoagulation; 1<br/>patient preference; 2<br/>complications)</li> </ul> | Day stay<br>transcatheter<br>ablation for<br>supraventricular<br>tachyarrhythmia<br>can be planned<br>without prior<br>diagnosis of<br>cause.<br>Small sample size<br>Entry and exit<br>dates for study are<br>not provided<br>Published in 1995,<br>procedures<br>conducted in 2007<br>may differ |  |  |
| Sorbera et al. 1999<br>USA <sup>9</sup>                | Retrospective<br>Observational<br>Study period:<br>1994 to 1997<br>Mean follow-<br>up: 22 months   | n=60 patients<br>selected<br>consecutively<br>Males: 43<br>Females: 17<br>Mean age: 38±15<br>years<br>50 patients had<br>supraventricular  | Outpatient<br>transseptal<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation of the left<br>accessory pathways<br>Mean procedure<br>duration: 2.5 hours | Successful<br>ablation<br>Same day<br>discharge<br>Complications | All cases were<br>successfully ablated<br>One patient with left<br>anterolateral<br>concealed pathway<br>had a recurrence<br>three months post-<br>procedure<br>No complications<br>were observed  | Authors conclude<br>transseptal<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation of the left<br>sided accessory<br>pathway is a safe,<br>effective<br>procedure in the<br>outpatient setting<br>of an experienced<br>high-volume<br>centre.   |  |  |

| Author, Year,<br>Country   | Study<br>Design               | Participants   | Intervention<br>versus<br>Comparator | Outcomes            | Results  | Conclusions<br>and Limitations   |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
|                            |                               | tachycardia; 7 had<br>atrial fibrillation; 3<br>complex<br>tachycardia |                                      |                     |  | Small sample size<br>and 7 of 50<br>patients had atrial<br>fibrillation<br>(indication of<br>interest) |
|                            |                               |  |                                      |                     |  | Published in 1999,<br>procedures<br>conducted in 2007<br>may differ                                    |
| Cost-Effectivene           | SS                            |  |                                      |                     |  |  |
| Kalbfleisch et al.<br>1993 | Prospective                   | n=95 undergoing<br>100 separate  | Radiofrequency catheter ablation of  | Successful ablation | 97 of 100 cases<br>were successfully                 | Authors conclude patients  |
| USA <sup>10</sup>          | Observational                 | procedures<br>n=90 single  | an accessory<br>atrioventricular     | Same day            | ablated  | undergoing<br>radiofrequency   |
|                            | Study period:<br>1991 to 1992 | ablation<br>n=5 two ablation   | connection                           | discharge           | 70 of 95 patients were treated as                    | catheter ablation of an accessory  |
|                            |                               | procedures   | Mean procedure<br>duration: 99±42    | Cost                | outpatients  | atrioventricular connection are  |
|                            |                               | Males: 63<br>Females: 32   | minutes                              |                     | 30 patients were admitted for                        | safely treated as outpatients.   |
|                            |                               | Mean age: 36 ±13   |                                      |                     | overnight stay<br>2 complications<br>(femoral artery | Small sample size for cost analysis  |
|                            |                               | years<br>Accessory pathway   |                                      |                     | pseudoaneurysm<br>requiring surgical                 | Selection unclear  |
|                            |                               | Right-sided: 33<br>Left-sided: 67                                      |                                      |                     | repair)  | Costs considered<br>unclear  |
|                            |                               | Overt: 70<br>Concealed: 30   |                                      |                     | Mean cost: US\$<br>10,183±1,082                      | Published in 1993,   |
|                            |                               | 30 consecutive   |                                      |                     | outpatient procedure                                 | procedures and associated costs  |
|                            |                               | patients were<br>chosen for cost                                       |                                      |                     |  | in 2007 may differ   |
|                            |                               | analysis (22<br>outpatients; 8   |                                      |                     |  |  |

| Author, Year,<br>Country             | Study<br>Design   | Participants   | Intervention<br>versus<br>Comparator  | Outcomes  | Results   | Conclusions<br>and Limitations   |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
|                                      |   | overnight)   |   |   |   |  |
| Man et al. 1993<br>USA <sup>11</sup> | Prospective<br>Observational<br>Study period:<br>1991 to 1992<br>Mean follow-<br>up: 7±3 months | n=139 consecutive<br>patients<br>Males: 38<br>Females: 101<br>Mean age: 45 ±16<br>years<br>40 consecutive<br>patients were<br>selected for cost<br>analysis (27<br>outpatients; 13<br>overnight) | Outpatient<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation of the slow<br>pathway for<br>treatment of<br>atrioventricular<br>nodal reentrant<br>tachycardia | Successful<br>ablation<br>Same day<br>discharge<br>Cost-<br>professional<br>fees and<br>hospital<br>charges | <ul> <li>119 patients had no recurrence during 7±3 months follow-up</li> <li>1 patient had a recurrence of tachycardia 3 weeks post-procedure</li> <li>90 patients were discharged same day</li> <li>30 patients were discharged the day following the procedure</li> <li>19 hospitalized (2 complications, 6 ablation of fast atrioventricular node, 4 unrelated indication, 7 inpatients)</li> <li>2 complications: complete atrioventricular block</li> <li>Mean cost: US\$ 10,1927±846 outpatients</li> </ul> | Authors conclude<br>a substantial cost<br>savings is<br>achieved when<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation of the<br>slow pathway is<br>performed on an<br>outpatient basis.<br>Small sample size<br>for cost analysis<br>Published in 1993,<br>procedures and<br>costs in 2007 may<br>differ |

| Author, Year,<br>Country                               | Study<br>Design  | Participants  | Intervention<br>versus<br>Comparator   | Outcomes  | Results   | Conclusions<br>and Limitations  |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
|  |  |   |  |   | Mean cost: US\$<br>11,2407±763<br>overnight   |   |
| Weerasooriya et<br>al. 1996<br>Australia <sup>12</sup> | Retrospective<br>Observational<br>Study period:<br>1992-1993<br>Mean follow-<br>up: 6±3 months | n=25 consecutive<br>patients for day<br>stay<br>Males: 10<br>Females: 15<br>Mean age: 40<br>years<br>n= 25 consecutive<br>inpatient historic<br>controls<br>Males: 11<br>Females: 14<br>Mean age: 41<br>years | Day stay<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation for<br>supraventricular<br>tachycardia versus<br>inpatient<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation (historic<br>controls)   | Successful<br>ablation<br>Same day<br>discharge<br>Cost | 24 of 25 outpatients<br>were successfully<br>ablated without<br>complication<br>Overall cost per<br>inpatient in 1994<br>Australian dollars:<br>AUS\$ 2,354±642<br>Overall cost per<br>outpatient in 1994<br>Australian dollars:<br>AUS\$ 1,876±595 | Authors conclude<br>that while day stay<br>ablation is less<br>costly and equally<br>effective, not all<br>patients are<br>suitable<br>candidates for<br>same day<br>discharge.<br>Small sample size<br>Unclear how<br>patients were<br>matches |
| Adverse Events   |  |   | •  |   |   |   |
| Cravens et al.<br>2007<br>USA <sup>13</sup>            | Retrospective<br>chart review<br>Observational<br>Study period:<br>1999 to 2001                | n=301<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation<br>outpatients: only 55<br>blood gases taken<br>n=293 carotid<br>endarterectomy<br>patients: 267 had<br>blood gases taken   | Outpatient<br>radiofrequency<br>ablation for atrial<br>flutter or atrial<br>fibrillation receiving<br>propofol as sedative<br>versus carotid<br>endarterectomy<br>patients who did not<br>receive propofol | Metabolic<br>acidosis based<br>on blood gases           | <ul> <li>13 of 55 outpatient<br/>radiofrequency<br/>ablation patients had<br/>metabolic acidosis</li> <li>22 of 267 carotid<br/>endarterectomy<br/>patients had<br/>metabolic acidosis</li> </ul>   | Authors conclude<br>prolonged, high-<br>dose propofol may<br>experience<br>metabolic<br>acidosis.<br>Retrospective<br>Baseline blood<br>gases are missing<br>Small sample size  |